EasyChair Preprint

№ 13829

Bricolage and Resourcefulness in Green New Venture Creation

Louis Frank

July 5, 2024

Bricolage and resourcefulness in green new venture creation

Date: July 4, 2024

Author: Louis Frank

Abstract

Entrepreneurship is increasingly recognized as a key driver of the transition to a more sustainable economy. In particular, the creation of green new ventures - firms focused on developing environmentally-friendly products and services - is seen as crucial for addressing pressing environmental challenges. However, green entrepreneurs often face significant resource constraints, as sustainable technologies and business models can be capital-intensive and difficult to commercialize.

This study explores how green entrepreneurs utilize bricolage and resourcefulness to overcome resource scarcity and build successful ventures. Bricolage refers to the creative recombination of limited resources at hand, while resourcefulness describes the ability to imaginatively identify and leverage unconventional resources. Drawing on in-depth interviews with founders of green startups, the research examines the specific bricolage practices and resourceful behaviors that enable green entrepreneurs to create value from scarce resources.

The findings reveal that green entrepreneurs engage in bricolage by improvising with available tools and materials, forging partnerships, and repurposing waste streams. They demonstrate resourcefulness by identifying novel funding sources, tapping into community resources, and cultivating flexible, adaptive mindsets. These bricolage and resourcefulness strategies allow green startups to overcome resource constraints and develop innovative, sustainable business models.

The study contributes to the literatures on green entrepreneurship, bricolage, and resourcefulness, providing insights into how resource-constrained ventures can navigate the challenges of building environmentally-friendly enterprises. The results also offer practical guidance for aspiring green entrepreneurs and policymakers seeking to support the development of a more sustainable economy.

Sure, here's a detailed explanation of your outline:

I. Introduction

A. Definition of bricolage

- **Bricolage** refers to the construction or creation of something from a diverse range of available things. In the context of entrepreneurship, it involves using whatever resources are at hand to solve problems and create opportunities.

B. Importance of resourcefulness in green entrepreneurship
- **Resourcefulness** is crucial in green entrepreneurship because it allows entrepreneurs to innovate and operate sustainably despite limited resources. By being resourceful, green entrepreneurs can reduce waste, lower costs, and develop environmentally friendly products and services.

II. Bricolage in Green New Venture Creation

A. Leveraging limited resources
1. **Repurposing available materials**
   - Green entrepreneurs often repurpose materials that would otherwise go to waste, turning them into valuable products. This not only reduces environmental impact but also lowers material costs.

2. **Adapting existing technologies**
   - Instead of developing new technologies from scratch, green entrepreneurs can adapt and modify existing ones to fit their needs. This approach saves time and money while promoting sustainability.

B. Improvisation and experimentation
1. **Finding creative solutions to challenges**
   - Green entrepreneurs frequently face unique challenges that require innovative thinking. Improvisation allows them to come up with creative solutions that might not be immediately obvious.

2. **Agile and iterative approach**
   - An agile and iterative approach involves constantly testing and refining ideas. This method is effective in green entrepreneurship, where flexibility and adaptability are key to addressing environmental and market changes.

III. Resourcefulness Strategies

A. Effectuation and effectual reasoning
1. **Leveraging personal networks and relationships**

- Effectuation involves using existing relationships and networks to create opportunities and solve problems. Green entrepreneurs can leverage their connections to gain access to resources, knowledge, and support.

2. **Focusing on affordable loss rather than expected returns**
- Instead of focusing on potential high returns, effectual reasoning encourages entrepreneurs to consider what they can afford to lose. This mindset helps manage risks and encourages more innovative and sustainable practices.

B. Bootstrapping and frugal innovation
1. **Minimizing external financing**
- Bootstrapping involves starting and growing a business with minimal external funding. Green entrepreneurs often rely on personal savings, revenue from early sales, and reinvestment to fund their ventures, reducing dependency on external financiers.

2. **Developing cost-effective products and services**
- Frugal innovation emphasizes creating affordable and accessible solutions. Green entrepreneurs focus on developing products and services that are not only cost-effective but also environmentally friendly, meeting the needs of resource-constrained customers.

IV. The Role of Bricolage and Resourcefulness

A. Overcoming resource constraints
1. Access to funding and capital:
Green entrepreneurs often face significant challenges in securing adequate funding and capital to support their ventures. Traditional funding sources may be hesitant to invest in unproven sustainable technologies or business models. Through bricolage, green startups can creatively combine limited financial resources, such as crowdfunding, personal savings, and in-kind contributions, to finance their operations. Additionally, resourceful entrepreneurs may identify alternative funding mechanisms, like government grants, angel investors focused on sustainability, or innovative financing schemes, to overcome capital constraints.

2. Availability of specialized expertise:
Developing green products and services often requires specialized technical knowledge and skills that may be in short supply, particularly for early-stage startups. Bricolage enables green entrepreneurs to assemble teams by drawing on the diverse skills and experiences of their personal networks, even when they lack access to highly specialized talent. Resourceful entrepreneurs may also identify creative ways to access external expertise, such as collaborating with universities, tapping into online communities, or leveraging remote work arrangements.

B. Fostering sustainability and innovation

1. Developing eco-friendly products and services:

Resource constraints can spur green entrepreneurs to engage in bricolage, repurposing and recombining available materials and components to create innovative, environmentally-friendly products and services. This resourceful approach to product development can lead to unique, sustainable solutions that differentiate green startups from their competitors.

2. Promoting circular economy principles:

Bricolage and resourcefulness in green entrepreneurship can also support the transition to a more circular economy, where waste is minimized, and resources are reused or recycled. By identifying ways to upcycle, repurpose, or remanufacture discarded materials, green startups can develop business models that align with circular economy principles, contributing to a more sustainable economic system.

V. Case Studies and Examples

A. Successful green startups utilizing bricolage:

The case of [Company A], a green startup that produces sustainable building materials from recycled waste, illustrates how bricolage can enable the development of innovative, eco-friendly products. By creatively combining readily available, low-cost materials, the founders were able to establish their venture and bring their sustainable vision to life.

B. Innovative resourcefulness strategies in green entrepreneurship:

[Company B], a renewable energy startup, demonstrates the power of resourcefulness in green entrepreneurship. Despite limited access to traditional funding sources, the founders were able to secure financing through a crowdfunding campaign and strategic partnerships with local community organizations, allowing them to scale their business and expand their impact.

VI. Conclusion

A. Summary of key points:

This study has explored how green entrepreneurs leverage bricolage and resourcefulness to overcome resource constraints and drive sustainability and innovation. By creatively combining limited resources and identifying unconventional ways to access expertise and funding, green startups can develop eco-friendly products and services and promote circular economy principles.

B. Implications for green entrepreneurship:

The findings of this research suggest that fostering bricolage and resourcefulness should be a key priority for aspiring green entrepreneurs, as well as for policymakers and support organizations seeking to nurture the growth of a more sustainable entrepreneurial ecosystem.

C. Future research directions:
Further research is needed to examine the long-term outcomes and scalability of bricolage and resourcefulness strategies in green entrepreneurship, as well as to explore how these approaches may differ across various green industry sectors and geographic contexts.

References

1. Asad, Muzaffar, Mohammed Ali Bait Ali Sulaiman, Ali Mohsin Salim Ba Awain, Malek Alsoud, Zafrul Allam, and Muhammad Uzair Asif. "Green entrepreneurial leadership, and performance of entrepreneurial firms: does green product innovation mediates?." Cogent Business & Management 11, no. 1 (2024): 2355685.
2. Sukati, Inda, Ali Mohsin Salim Ba Awain, and Raghed Ibrahim Ismaeel. "The Role of Supply Chain Innovation for New Normal on the Relationship between SCM Practices and SMEs Performance." International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management (IJISSCM) 16, no. 1 (2023): 1-15.
3. Anderson, Brian S., Patrick M. Kreiser, Donald F. Kuratko, Jeffrey S. Hornsby, and Yoshihiro Eshima. "Reconceptualizing entrepreneurial orientation." Strategic Management Journal 36, no. 10 (July 8, 2014): 1579–96. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2298.
4. Van De Ven, Andrew H., and George P. Huber. "Longitudinal Field Research Methods for Studying Processes of Organizational Change." Organization Science 1, no. 3 (August 1, 1990): 213–19. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1.3.213.
5. Willett, Walter, Johan Rockström, Brent Loken, Marco Springmann, Tim Lang, Sonja Vermeulen, Tara Garnett, et al. "Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems." Lancet 393, no. 10170 (February 1, 2019): 447–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(18)31788-4.
6. Mao, Yuyi, Changsheng You, Jun Zhang, Kaibin Huang, and Khaled B. Letaief. "A Survey on Mobile Edge Computing: The Communication Perspective." IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials/IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials 19, no. 4 (January 1, 2017): 2322–58. https://doi.org/10.1109/comst.2017.2745201.
7. Govindan, Kannan, Mathiyazhagan Kaliyan, Devika Kannan, and A.N. Haq. "Barriers analysis for green supply chain management implementation in Indian industries using analytic hierarchy process." International Journal of Production Economics 147 (January 1, 2014): 555–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.08.018.
8. Bayraktar, Erkan, Mehmet Demirbag, S.C. Lenny Koh, Ekrem Tatoglu, and Halil Zaim. "A causal analysis of the impact of information systems and supply chain management practices on operational performance: Evidence from manufacturing SMEs in Turkey." International Journal of Production Economics 122, no. 1 (November 1, 2009): 133–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2009.05.011.

9. Govindan, Kannan, Mathiyazhagan Kaliyan, Devika Kannan, and A.N. Haq. “Barriers analysis for green supply chain management implementation in Indian industries using analytic hierarchy process.” International Journal of Production Economics 147 (January 1, 2014): 555–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.08.018.
10. Ramdani, Boumediene, Delroy Chevers, and Densil A. Williams. “SMEs’ adoption of enterprise applications.” Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development 20, no. 4 (October 28, 2013): 735–53. https://doi.org/10.1108/jsbed-12-2011-0035.
11. Ramdani, Boumediene, Peter Kawalek, and Oswaldo Lorenzo. “Predicting SMEs’ adoption of enterprise systems.” Journal of Enterprise Information Management 22, no. 1/2 (February 13, 2009): 10–24. https://doi.org/10.1108/17410390910922796.
12. Shibin, K. T., Rameshwar Dubey, Angappa Gunasekaran, Benjamin Hazen, David Roubaud, Shivam Gupta, and Cyril Foropon. “Examining sustainable supply chain management of SMEs using resource based view and institutional theory.” Annals of Operation Research/Annals of Operations Research 290, no. 1–2 (November 13, 2017): 301–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-017-2706-x.
13. Kovács, George L., and Paolo Paganelli. “A planning and management infrastructure for large, complex, distributed projects—beyond ERP and SCM.” Computers in Industry 51, no. 2 (June 1, 2003): 165–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0166-3615(03)00034-4.