Comparative Analysis of Length Deformation in Classical and Relativistic Mechanics

Soumendra Nath Thakur

May 18, 2024

Comparative Analysis of Length Deformation in Classical and Relativistic Mechanics

Soumendra Nath Thakur

Date: 18-05-2024

Abstract

This study presents a comparative analysis of length deformation in Classical and Relativistic Mechanics, specifically investigating 10-gram objects accelerating to 1% of the speed of light. By employing Hooke's Law in Classical Mechanics and the Relativistic Lorentz Factor, the research explores the implications of acceleration dynamics and the limitations inherent in Relativistic Mechanics. The results reveal significant differences in predicted length changes between the two frameworks, emphasizing the necessity of considering relativistic effects beyond velocity alone. This study underscores the critical importance of addressing the incomplete treatment of acceleration dynamics in Relativistic Mechanics to achieve a more accurate depiction of length deformation in high-speed scenarios.

Keywords: Length Deformation, Classical Mechanics, Relativistic Mechanics, Hooke's Law, Lorentz Factor, Acceleration,

Soumendra Nath Thakur
ORCiD: 0000-0003-1871-7803
Tagore's Electronic Lab, W.B. India
Emails: postmasterenator@gmail.com
postmasterenator@telitnetwork.in

Declarations:
Funding: No specific funding was received for this work,
Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Introduction

In the study of objects' behaviour under extreme velocities, Classical and Relativistic Mechanics offer indispensable frameworks. This research explores the phenomenon of length deformation experienced by 10-gram objects accelerating to 1% of the speed of light (v = 2997924.58 m/s = 0.01c) over a duration of 10,000 seconds. By incorporating principles from Classical Mechanics, notably Hooke's Law, and Relativistic Mechanics, utilizing the Lorentz Factor, we scrutinize the implications of acceleration and the oversight of certain factors in Relativistic Mechanics. The study aims to elucidate the differences in derived length changes between the two methodologies and to discern the extent to which relativistic effects influence observed deformations.

Through rigorous analysis of the derived length changes using both Classical and Relativistic perspectives, this research seeks to illuminate the nuanced interplay between acceleration, velocity, and relativistic effects. The comparison between deformation results obtained from Classical Mechanics, which integrates factors like material stiffness and proportionality constant, and Relativistic Mechanics, which accounts for velocity-dependent contraction, promises to elucidate the divergent predictions of these theoretical frameworks.

By meticulously examining and calculating the degree of discrepancy between the length deformations predicted by Classical and Relativistic Mechanics, this study aims to deepen our understanding of the transition between Classical and Relativistic regimes. Additionally, by investigating the applicability and limitations of the Lorentz Factor, particularly concerning the minimum speed at which its effects become significant, this research seeks to contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding the behaviour of matter at extreme velocities.

In essence, this research endeavour aims to provide insights into the intricate interplay between Classical and Relativistic Mechanics in describing length deformations under high-speed conditions, contributing to our understanding of matter's behaviour at extreme velocities.

Mechanism

To conduct the study comparing length deformation in Classical and Relativistic Mechanics, we propose the following mechanism:

1. Classical Mechanics Application:

• Apply the known force to the object using the designed mechanism.

• Apply the resulting displacement of the object.
• Calculate the change in length using Hooke's Law and the formula $\Delta L = F/k$, where k is the spring constant derived from the applied force and the object's displacement.

2. Relativistic Mechanics Application:
• Repeat the force application process with the same 10-gram object.
• Apply the resulting displacement in the Lorentz Factor to account for relativistic effects.
• Calculate the change in length using the Lorentz contraction formula $L = L_0\sqrt{(1-v^2/c^2)}$, where L_0 is the proper length, v is the velocity of the object, and c is the speed of light.

3. Data Analysis:
• Compare the derived length changes obtained from Classical and Relativistic mechanics applications.
• Evaluate the discrepancy between the two methodologies and assess the impact of factors such as material stiffness, proportionality constant and velocity-dependent contraction.
• Consider the implications of inevitable acceleration and the oversight of certain factors in Relativistic Mechanics on the observed length deformations.

4. Discussion and Interpretation:
• Discuss the findings in the context of Classical and Relativistic Mechanics theories.
• Analyse the significance of the observed differences in length deformation predictions.
• Explore the applicability and limitations of the Lorentz Factor in describing length deformations under high-speed conditions.
• Consider the broader implications of the study's results for our understanding of matter behaviour at extreme velocities.

5. Conclusion and Future Directions:
• Summarize the key findings and insights gained from the study.
• Identify areas for further research and experimentation, including potential refinements to the experimental setup or theoretical frameworks.
• Discuss the potential applications of the study's findings in fields such as astrophysics, particle physics, and engineering.

By following this proposed mechanism, researchers can systematically investigate and compare length deformation predictions in Classical and Relativistic Mechanics, advancing our understanding of matter behaviour under extreme conditions.

Mathematical Presentation

1. Relativistic Derivation of Length Contraction with Lorentz Factor

Lorentz Factor (γ) Derivation:

The Lorentz factor is defined as: $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{(1-v^2/c^2)}$

For an object moving at 1% of the speed of light:

$$v = 0.01c$$

Plugging into Lorentz factor equation:

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\{1-(0.01c/c)^2\}} = 1/\sqrt{0.9999} \approx 1.00005$$

Length Contraction Calculation:

The formula for length contraction is:

$$L = L_0\sqrt{(1-v^2/c^2)}$$

Given:

$v = 0.01c$
$L_0 = 1$ metre

Substituting the values:

$$L = 1 \times \sqrt{\{1-(0.01)^2\}} \approx 0.99995 \text{ meters}$$

The contracted length:

$$\Delta L = 1 - 0.99995 = 0.05 \text{ millimetres}$$

Summary of Relativistic Contraction:
• At 1% of the speed of light, length contraction is minimal.
• The contraction factor is approximately 0.99995, leading to a length change of 0.05 mm for a 1-meter object.

2. Classical Derivation of Length Change with Hooke's Law

Hooke's Law:

$$F = k\Delta L$$

Where:

F is the applied force.
k is the spring constant.
ΔL is the displacement or change in length.

Given:

m = 10 grams =0.01 kg
v = 2997924.58 m/s = 0.01c
t = 10000 seconds

Calculate Acceleration:

$$a = v/t = (2997924.58 \text{ m/s}) / (10000 \text{ s}) = 299.792458 \text{ m/s}^2$$

Force Calculation:

Using Newton's second law:

$$F = m \cdot a$$

$$F = 0.01 \text{ kg} \times 299.792458 \text{ m/s}^2 = 2.99792458 \text{ N}$$

Determine Spring Constant (k):

Assuming a known displacement ΔL=0.0001 m:

$$k = F/\Delta L = 2.99792458 \text{ N} / 0.0001 \text{ m} = 29979.2458 \text{ N/m}$$

Calculate Length Change:

Using Hooke's Law:

$$\Delta L = F/k = (2.99792458 \text{ N}) / (29979.2458 \text{ N/m}) = 0.1 \text{ millimetres}$$

Summary of Classical Deformation:

• For a force of 2.9979 N applied to a 10-gram object, the length change is 0.1 mm. This calculation assumes the proportionality constant k derived from the applied force and displacement.

Acceleration and Length Changes between Rest Frames and Separation:

• In Classical Mechanics, acceleration is accounted for directly using $F = m \cdot a$.
• In Relativistic Mechanics, acceleration is less straightforward due to the dependence of mass on velocity.

Velocity Changes after Attaining Desired Velocity:

• Classical Mechanics considers the force required to maintain and change velocity, incorporating acceleration.
• Relativistic Mechanics uses the Lorentz factor, which only considers the object once it is in motion, not accounting for the force and acceleration required to reach that velocity.

Comparison and Conclusions:

Classical vs. Relativistic Mechanics:

• Classical Mechanics provides a straightforward calculation of length change based on Hooke's Law, accounting for force, stiffness, and acceleration.
• Relativistic Mechanics focuses on the contracted length once an object reaches a significant fraction of the speed of light, using the Lorentz factor.

Observations:

• At 1% of the speed of light, relativistic effects are minimal (Lorentz factor $\gamma \approx 1.00005$).
• The classical calculation predicts a greater length change (0.1 mm) compared to the relativistic prediction (0.05 mm).

Implications:

• The study highlights the differences in predicting length changes under extreme velocities.
• Classical Mechanics considers inevitable acceleration, material stiffness, and force application.
• Relativistic Mechanics primarily focuses on the contraction during uniform motion.

This detailed mathematical presentation showcases the derivations and comparisons of length deformation predictions in Classical and Relativistic Mechanics, providing insights into their respective frameworks and relativistic limitations.

Discussion

The study presents a comparative analysis of length deformation in classical mechanics and relativistic mechanics for a 10-gram object accelerating to 1% of the speed of light over 10,000 seconds. Classical mechanics utilizes Hooke's Law to determine the deformation, while relativistic mechanics employs the Lorentz factor to calculate length contraction.

Comparison of Results:

1. Classical Mechanics and Hooke's Law:
In classical mechanics, Hooke's Law states that the deformation (ΔL) of an object is directly proportional to the applied force (F) and inversely proportional to the spring constant (k). For the 10-gram object, the force calculated to achieve the given acceleration is approximately 2.9979 N. Using Hooke's Law, the change in length (ΔL) is found to be 0.0001 meters (0.1 mm).

2. Relativistic Mechanics and Lorentz Factor:
The Lorentz factor (γ) accounts for relativistic effects that become significant at high velocities, close to the speed of light. At 1% of the speed of light (v=0.01c), the Lorentz factor is approximately 1.00005, indicating negligible relativistic effects. The length contraction calculated using the Lorentz factor is 0.00005 meters (0.05 mm).

The results indicate that the classical derivation using Hooke's Law predicts a greater length change (0.1 mm) compared to the relativistic derivation using the Lorentz factor (0.05 mm). This difference highlights the varying considerations of each approach.

Implications of Inevitable Acceleration:
The study emphasizes that classical mechanics takes into account the inevitable acceleration component when calculating deformation. In contrast, the Lorentz factor primarily considers the object’s velocity relative to the speed of light and does not explicitly include the effects of acceleration when transitioning between rest frames or while changing velocity.

1. Acceleration from Rest Frames:
When the object accelerates from a rest frame, classical mechanics provides a straightforward approach by considering the applied force and resulting deformation. This aligns with Newton's second law, where force is the product of mass and acceleration.

2. Velocity Changes after Attaining Desired Velocity:
After reaching the desired velocity (v=0.01c), any further changes in velocity would still involve acceleration. Classical mechanics accounts for this by continuously applying Newton's second law. In contrast, relativistic mechanics focuses on the velocity and its effects on length contraction, often overlooking the detailed impact of ongoing acceleration.

Significance of the Lorentz Factor:
The Lorentz factor is applicable at all speeds, but its effects become significant only at velocities approaching a substantial fraction of the speed of light. At 1% of the speed of light, the Lorentz factor is very close to 1, indicating minimal relativistic effects. Because the Lorentz factor ignores relativistic effects during accelerations, for this very reason, the length contraction derived from the Lorentz factor is smaller than the deformation predicted by Hooke's Law in the classical approach.

Practical Applications and Considerations:

1. Material Stiffness and Proportionality Constant:
In classical mechanics, the proportionality constant (k) or the stiffness of the material plays a crucial role in determining deformation. This aspect is crucial for practical applications in engineering and materials science, where understanding material behaviour under different forces is essential.

2. Relativistic Considerations in High-Speed Contexts:
While the relativistic effects are negligible at low speeds, they become crucial in high-speed contexts such as particle physics and astrophysics. Understanding these effects is essential for accurate modelling and prediction of phenomena at relativistic speeds.

Limitations and Future Directions:
Our study unveils inherent constraints within relativistic methodologies, particularly concerning their treatment of acceleration dynamics. While our analysis exposes a notable discrepancy between classical and relativistic predictions, with classical mechanics foreseeing a greater length change (0.1 mm) compared to the relativistic forecast (0.05 mm), it is crucial to recognize the foundational reason behind this difference. The Lorentz factor, integral to relativistic calculations, overlooks relativistic effects during accelerations, resulting in an underestimation of length contraction relative to the classical model employing Hooke's Law. This

oversight underscores the challenge faced by relativistic mechanics in fully integrating classical acceleration dynamics, even within scenarios of high-speed motion scrutinized in our investigation.

The underestimation of changes in an object's state by relativistic mechanics due to its incomplete consideration of acceleration dynamics necessitates focused efforts in future research. Addressing this discrepancy calls for a refinement of relativistic frameworks to achieve a more comprehensive understanding of length deformation phenomena under extreme velocities.

In conclusion, our study illuminates the contrasting predictions of length deformation between classical and relativistic mechanics for a 10-gram object accelerating to 1% of the speed of light. Classical mechanics, employing Hooke's Law, anticipates a greater deformation compared to the relativistic length contraction derived from the Lorentz factor. These findings underscore the critical importance of acknowledging and reconciling the underlying reasons behind such differences.

Recognizing the underestimation of changes in an object's state by relativistic mechanics due to its incomplete consideration of acceleration dynamics prompts a call for action in future research endeavours. By bridging the gap between classical and relativistic approaches, we can pave the way for a unified and more accurate depiction of physical phenomena in high-speed contexts.

Conclusion

This study undertakes a comparative analysis of length deformation in classical and relativistic mechanics for a 10-gram object accelerating to 1% of the speed of light over a time span of 10,000 seconds. By employing Hooke's Law in classical mechanics and the Lorentz factor in relativistic mechanics, the study provides insights into the differences in predicted deformations and highlights areas for future research.

1. Classical Mechanics with Hooke's Law:
Using Hooke's Law, the study finds that the deformation (ΔL) is 0.0001 meters (0.1 mm) for the given force of 2.9979 N. This approach accounts for material stiffness and the applied force, highlighting how classical mechanics considers both acceleration and the resulting deformation.

2. Relativistic Mechanics with Lorentz Factor:
The relativistic approach, utilizing the Lorentz factor, calculates a length contraction of 0.00005 meters (0.05 mm). This minimal contraction reflects the relatively insignificant relativistic effects at 1% of the speed of light.

3. Comparison and Implications:
The classical derivation predicts a greater deformation compared to the relativistic approach. This discrepancy illustrates the different considerations and assumptions in each framework. Classical mechanics includes the impact of acceleration and material properties, while relativistic mechanics focuses on velocity and its effects on length contraction.

4. Significance of Acceleration:
The study emphasizes the role of acceleration in classical mechanics, both from rest frames and during changes in velocity after attaining a desired speed. Relativistic mechanics, on the other hand, often overlooks these acceleration dynamics, which can lead to underestimations of deformation.

5. Practical and Theoretical Insights:
For practical applications, especially in engineering and material sciences, understanding the limitations and strengths of both classical and relativistic mechanics is essential. While classical mechanics provides a robust framework for low-speed scenarios, relativistic mechanics becomes crucial at higher velocities approaching the speed of light.

6. Limitations and Future Directions:
This study unveils inherent constraints within relativistic methodologies, particularly concerning their treatment of acceleration dynamics. The underestimation of changes in an object's state by relativistic mechanics due to its incomplete consideration of acceleration dynamics necessitates focused efforts in future research. Addressing this discrepancy calls for a refinement of relativistic frameworks to achieve a more comprehensive understanding of length deformation phenomena under extreme velocities.

In conclusion, this study underscores the importance of selecting the appropriate mechanical framework based on the specific conditions and requirements of the scenario. Both classical and relativistic mechanics offer valuable insights, and their combined understanding is crucial for advancing our comprehension of motion and deformation at varying speeds.

References

[1] Carroll, S. Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity.
[2] Einstein, A. Relativity: The Special and General Theory.
[3] Goldstein, H., Poole, C. P., & Safko, J. L. Classical Mechanics.
[5] Morin, D. Introduction to Classical Mechanics: With Problems and Solutions.
[6] Thakur, S. N. (2023p). Decoding Time Dynamics: The Crucial Role of Phase Shift Measurement amidst Relativistic & Non-Relativistic Influences, Qeios, https://doi.org/10.32388/mrwnvv
[7] Thakur, S. N. (2024a). Decoding nuances: relativistic mass as relativistic energy, Lorentz's transformations, and Mass-Energy. . . EasyChair, 11777,
https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.22913.02403
[8] Thakur, S. N. (2024f). Direct Influence of Gravitational Field on Object Motion invalidates Spacetime Distortion. Qeios, BFMIAU.
https://doi.org/10.32388/bfmiau
[9] Thakur, S. N. (2024i). Introducing Effective Mass for Relativistic Mass in Mass Transformation in Special Relativity and. . . ResearchGate
https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.34253.20962
[10] Thakur, S. N. (2024k). Re-examining Time Dilation through the Lens of Entropy: ResearchGate,
[11] https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.36407.70568
[12] Thakur, S. N. (2024m). Re-examining time dilation through the lens of entropy, Qeios,
https://doi.org/10.32388/xbuwvd
[13] Thakur, S. N. (2024n). Standardization of Clock Time: Ensuring Consistency with Universal Standard Time. EasyChair, 12297,
https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.18568.80640
[14] Thakur, S. N., & Bhattacharjee, D. (2023j). Phase shift and infinitesimal wave energy loss equations. Journal of Physical Chemistry & Biophysics, 13(6), 1000365 https://www.longdom.org/open-access/phase-shift-and-infinitesimal-wave-energy-loss-equations-104719.html
[15] Tipler, P. A., & Llewellyn, R. Modern Physics.