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#### Abstract

Robin's criterion states that the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality $\sigma(n)<e^{\gamma} \times n \times \log \log n$ holds for all natural numbers $n>5040$, where $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of $n$ and $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. In 2022, Vega stated that the possible existence of the smallest counterexample $n>5040$ of the Robin inequality implies that $q_{m}>e^{31.018189471}$ and $(\log n)^{\beta}<$ $1.03352795481 \times \log \left(N_{m}\right)$, where $N_{m}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}$ is the primorial number of order $m$, $q_{m}$ is the largest prime divisor of $n$ and $\beta=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}-1}$ when $n$ must be an HardyRamanujan integer of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{a_{i}}$. Based on that result, we obtain a contradiction just assuming the existence of such possible smallest counterexample $n>5040$ for the Robin inequality. By contraposition, we show that the Riemann hypothesis should be true.
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## 1 Introduction

In mathematics, the Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$. As usual $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of $n$ :

$$
\sum_{d \mid n} d
$$
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where $d \mid n$ means the integer $d$ divides $n$ and $d \nmid n$ means the integer $d$ does not divide $n$. Define $f(n)$ to be $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$. Say Robins $(n)$ holds provided

$$
f(n)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

The constant $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and $\log$ is the natural logarithm. The importance of this property is:

Theorem 1.1 Robins( $n$ ) holds for all natural numbers $n>5040$ if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true [3].

It is known that Robins( $n$ ) holds for many classes of numbers $n$. We recall that an integer $n$ is said to be square free if for every prime divisor $q$ of $n$ we have $q^{2} \nmid n$.

Theorem 1.2 Robins( $n$ ) holds for all natural numbers $n>5040$ that are square free [1].

Let $q_{1}=2, q_{2}=3, \ldots, q_{m}$ denote the first $m$ consecutive primes, then an integer of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{a_{i}}$ with $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{m} \geq 0$ is called an Hardy-Ramanujan integer [1]. Now, we are able to use this recently result:

Theorem 1.3 The possible existence of the smallest counterexample $n>5040$ of the Robin inequality implies that $q_{m}>e^{31.018189471}$ and $(\log n)^{\beta}<1.03352795481 \times$ $\log \left(N_{m}\right)$, where $N_{m}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}$ is the primorial number of order $m, q_{m}$ is the largest prime divisor of $n$ and $\beta=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}-1}$ when n must be an Hardy-Ramanujan integer of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{a_{i}}$ [4].

Putting all together yields a proof for the Riemann hypothesis using the Theorem 1.3 as the principal argument.

## 2 A Central Lemma

These are known results:
Lemma 2.1 For every $x>-1$ [2]:

$$
\log (1+x) \geq \frac{x}{x+1}
$$

Lemma 2.2 For every real number x [2]:

$$
e^{x} \geq 1+x
$$

The following is a key Lemma.
Lemma 2.3 If the natural number $n>5040$ is an Hardy-Ramanujan integer of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{a_{i}}$, then $\beta \geq 1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}$ where $\beta=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}-1}$.

Proof If we apply the logarithm to the value of

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}-1}
$$

then we obtain that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \left(\frac{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}-1}\right) .
$$

For some $1 \leq j \leq m$, we know that

$$
\frac{q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}}{q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}-1}=1+\frac{1}{q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}-1} .
$$

We use the Lemma 2.1 to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(1+\frac{1}{q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}-1}\right) & \geq \frac{\frac{1}{q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}-1}}{\frac{1}{q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}-1}+1} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}-1\right) \times\left(\frac{1}{q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}-1}+1\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{1+\left(q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}-1\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{q_{j}^{a_{j}+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \left(\frac{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}-1}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}
$$

and thus,

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}-1} \geq e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}+1}}
$$

Using the Lemma 2.2, we have that

$$
e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}+1}} \geq 1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\beta \geq 1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}} .
$$

## 3 Main Insight

This is the main insight.
Lemma 3.1 Suppose that $n>5040$ is an Hardy-Ramanujan integer of the form
$\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{a_{i}}$ and $q_{m}>e^{31.018189471}$. Then $(\log n)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}+1}} \geq 1.03352795481$.
Proof If we apply the logarithm to the both sides of the inequality, then

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}\right) \times \log \log n \geq \log (1.03352795481) .
$$

Let's multiply the both sides of the inequality by $e^{\gamma}$,

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}\right) \times e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n \geq e^{\gamma} \times \log (1.03352795481) .
$$

From the Theorem 1.2, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n & \geq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log N_{m} \\
& >f\left(N_{m}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+\frac{1}{q_{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $n>5040$ is an Hardy-Ramanujan integer, $N_{m}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}$ is the primorial number of order $m$ and thus, $n \geq N_{m}$ and $N_{m}$ is square free. Hence, we would have that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+\frac{1}{q_{i}}\right) \geq e^{\gamma} \times \log (1.03352795481)
$$

If we apply the logarithm to the both sides again, then

$$
\log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{q_{i}}\right) \geq \log \left(e^{\gamma} \times \log (1.03352795481)\right) .
$$

We use the Lemma 2.1 to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}\right) & =\log \left(1+\left(-1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\left(-1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}\right)}{\left(-1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}+1}\right)+1} \\
& =\frac{\left(-1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}+1}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}} a_{i}+1} \\
& =1-\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
-1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}>-1
$$

For some $1 \leq j \leq m$, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(1+\frac{1}{q_{j}}\right) & \geq \frac{\frac{1}{q_{j}}}{\frac{1}{q_{j}}+1} \\
& =\frac{1}{q_{j} \times\left(\frac{1}{q_{j}}+1\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{1+q_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

according to the Lemma 2.1. However, we note that

$$
1-\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1+q_{i}}>0
$$

when $q_{m}>e^{31.018189471}$. In addition, we have that

$$
0>\log \left(e^{\gamma} \times \log (1.03352795481)\right)
$$

and finally, the proof is complete.

## 4 Main Theorem

We conclude with the following statement:
Theorem 4.1 The Riemann hypothesis is true.
Proof Suppose that $n>5040$ is the possible smallest number such that Robins ( $n$ ) does not hold. By the Theorem 1.3, we know that $q_{m}>e^{31.018189471}$ and $(\log n)^{\beta}<$ $1.03352795481 \times \log \left(N_{m}\right)$, where $N_{m}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}$ is the primorial number of order $m$, $q_{m}$ is the largest prime divisor of $n$ and $\beta=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}}{q_{i}^{a_{i}+1}-1}$ when $n$ must be an HardyRamanujan integer of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{a_{i}}$. From the Lemma 2.3, we know that

$$
(\log n)^{\beta} \geq(\log n)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{a_{i}^{q_{i}+1}}\right)
$$

and therefore, we would have that

$$
(\log n)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i} a_{i+1}}\right)<1.03352795481 \times \log \left(N_{m}\right)
$$

when $n>5040$ is the possible smallest number such that Robins( $n$ ) does not hold. Thus, we would obtain that

$$
(\log n)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{q_{i}+1}}<1.03352795481
$$

since $n$ must be an Hardy-Ramanujan integer and so, $\log n \geq \log N_{m}$. However, we know the previous inequality cannot be satisfied because of the Lemma 3.1. By contraposition, we show that the Riemann hypothesis is true, since we obtain a contradiction just assuming the possible smallest counterexample for the Robin inequality greater than 5040. Certainly, this is a direct consequence of the Theorem 1.1.
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