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#### Abstract

Let $n$ be a positive integer. We use known estimates of some arithmetic functions to derive lower bounds of $n$ for which Robin's inequality holds.


## 1. Introduction and Results

Let $n$ be a positive integer with sum of divisor function $\sigma(n):=\sum_{d \mid n} d$. Robins inequality is the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(n)<e^{\gamma} n \log \log n \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=0.577 \ldots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Robin [1] proved that the Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if inequality (1.1) holds for all $n>5041$. Inequality (1.1) is known to hold for a few families of integers but the general case still remains an open problem. In the case of $t$-free integers, Choie et al. [2] proved that if $n$ does not satisfy (1.1), then it must be even, neither square free nor square full and divisible by a fifth power of a prime. Their result has ever since been improved with Axler [3] recently proving that (1.1) holds for every 21 -free integer $n$. As a consequence, the following equivalence of the Riemann hypothesis was formulated.

Proposition 1.1. [See Corollary 2.5 in [3].]
The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if Robin's inequality (1.1) holds for every 21 -full integer $n$.

We contribute a partial result to Proposition 1.1 by proving a new family of 21 -full integers for which inequality (1.1) holds as stated below.

Theorem 1.2. Let $n$ be a $t$-full integer with $k$ distinct prime divisors. Inequality (1.1) holds for all $t>0.44 k \log (k \log k)+0.49 k+1$.

For the case of bounds, Ramanujan proved that Robin's inequality holds for all sufficiently large values of $n$. We refine this result by proving the following lower bound for $n$ for which inequality (1.1) holds.

Theorem 1.3. Let $n$ be a positive integer wuth $k$ distinct prime divisors. Then inequality (1.1) holds for all $n$ satisfying $\log p_{k}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log ^{2} p_{k}}\right)<\log \log n$, where $p_{k}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ prime.

In the case of distinct prime divisors, we prove the following trivial lower bound for $k$.
Lemma 1.4. Let $n$ be a positive integer with $k$ distinct prime divisors. Then inequality (1.1) holds for all $k \leq 12$.

Proof. We consider $n$ to be 21-full since Proposition 1.1 implies inequality (1.1) holds for all 21 -free integers. If $n$ is 21 -full, then there exists a prime divisor $q$ of $n$ such that $q^{21}$ divides $n$. Thus $n \geq 2^{21} \prod_{i=2}^{k} p_{i}$. Calculations show that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}<\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}-1}<\log \log \left(2^{21} \prod_{i=2}^{k} p_{i}\right) \leq \log \log n \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $k \leq 12$, where the first inequality in (1.2) follows from inequality (2.2).

As a consequence of Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, we prove the following explicit upper bound for integers that do not satisfy Robin's inequality.

Theorem 1.5. Let $n$ be a positive integer with $k$ distinct prime divisors. If $n$ does not satisfy inequality (1.1), then $n \leq(k \log k)^{1.31 k}$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We can write $n=\prod_{i=1}^{k} q_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$, where $q_{i}$ are distinct primes and $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. We notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{i}-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{q_{i}^{\alpha_{i}+1}}\right)<\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{i}-1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sequence $\left\{\frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}-1}\right\}$ over the primes is strictly decreasing, we have $\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{i}-1}<\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}-1}$. Thus (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}<\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}-1}<e^{\gamma} \log p_{k}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log ^{2} p_{k}}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality in (2.2) follows from Corollary 1 in [4]. By hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\gamma}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log ^{2} p_{k}}\right)<e^{\gamma} \log \log n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3) completes the proof.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Proof. We proceed by proving that inequality (1.1) holds for all $n>(k \log k)^{1.31 k}$. Suppose $n>(k \log k)^{1.31 k}$. The case $k \leq 12$ trivially follows from Lemma 1.4. For the case $k>12$, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log p_{k}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log ^{2} p_{k}}\right)<\log \log n \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which Theorem 1.3 implies inequality (1.1). By taking exponent on both sides, inequality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\log p_{k}}\right)<\log n . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $p_{k} \geq p_{13}=41$, from which it follows that $\exp \left(\frac{1}{\log p_{k}}\right)<1.31$.
Inequality (3.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\log p_{k}}\right)<1.3 p_{k}<1.31 k \log (k \log k)<\log n . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the second inequality in (3.3) follows from the fact that $p_{k}<k \log (k \log k)$ (See equation 3.13 in [4] ) and the last inequality in (3.3) follows by hypothesis.

We have proved that inequality (3.1) holds for all $n>(k \log k)^{1.31 k}$, hence by Theorem 1.3, inequality (1.1) must hold.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. We consider the case $k>12$ since the case $k \leq 12$ follows from Lemma 1.4.
Suppose $n$ is a $t$-full integer, then $n \geq 2^{t-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}$, where $p_{i}$ is the $i^{t h}$ prime.
Let $\vartheta\left(p_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \log p_{i}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log n \geq(t-1) \log 2+\vartheta\left(p_{k}\right)>(t-1) \log 2+k \log (k \log k)-k, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality in (4.1) follows from $\vartheta\left(p_{k}\right)>k \log (k \log k)-k$. (See Proposition 5.1 in [5]).
From (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\log p_{k}}\right)<1.31 k \log (k \log k) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1.31 k \log (k \log k)<(t-1) \log 2+k \log (k \log k)-k \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then it follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that $\log p_{k}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log ^{2} p_{k}}\right)<\log \log n$ from which Theorem 1.3 implies that inequality (1.1) holds. But inequality (4.3) can be written as $t>$ $0.44 k \log (k \log k)+0.49 k+1$ which then concludes the proof.
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